Case 2:20-cv-02446-JAM-DB Document 38 Filed 03/12/21 Page 1 of 4

1 2	KEKER, VAN NEST & PETERS LLP ELLIOT R. PETERS #158708 epeters@keker.com	KING, HOLMES, PATERNO & SORIANO, LLP JOHN SNOW # 280790			
3	ERIC H. MACMICHAEL #231697 emacmichael@keker.com BAILEY W. HEAPS #295870	jsnow@khpslaw.com 1900 Avenue of the Stars, 25th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90067			
4	bheaps@keker.com 633 Battery Street	Telephone: 310 282 8989 Facsimile: 310 282 8903			
5	San Francisco, CA 94111-1809 Telephone: 415 391 5400	1 desimile. 310 202 0703			
6	Facsimile: 415 397 7188				
7 8	ATTORNEYS FOR Defendants NIXON PEABODY, LLP and FORREST DAVID MILDER	ATTORNEYS FOR Plaintiffs SOLARMORE MANAGEMENT SERVICES, INC., a California corporation			
9	TORREST DAVID WILDER	(Additional counsel listed on signature page)			
	ANALOS CELATROS DICENTOS COLUMN				
10					
11	EASTERN DISTRIC	I OF CALIFORNIA			
12	SACRAMENT	TO DIVISION			
13	SOLARMORE MANAGEMENT	Case No. 2:20-cv-02446-JAM-DB			
14	SERVICES, INC., a California corporation; CARL AND BARBARA JANSEN, a married	JOINT STIPULATION TO EXTEND			
15	couple,	TIME TO MEET AND CONFER AND FILE STATUS REPORT AND ORDER			
16	Plaintiff,	Date Transferred: 12/10/2020			
17	v.				
18	NIXON PEABODY, LLP, a New York limited	Trial Date: Not yet set			
19	liability partnership; FORREST DAVID MILDER, a married individual,				
20	Defendant.				
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
- 1	1				

STIPULATION OF THE PARTIES

The parties, Plaintiffs Solarmore Management and Carl and Barbara Jansen ("Plaintiffs") and Defendants Nixon Peabody and Forrest David Milder ("Defendants"), by and through their attorneys, hereby stipulate and agree as follows:

WHEREAS, removal of this matter from Los Angeles Superior Court to the Central District of California was effected on November 4, 2020;

WHEREAS, on November 20, 2020, Defendants filed their motion to transfer venue to the Eastern District of California pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1404 (*see* ECF No. 20);

WHEREAS, on December 8, 2020, Judge Christina A. Snyder in the Central District of California granted Defendants' non-opposed motion to transfer venue (*see* ECF No. 25);

WHEREAS, on December 10, 2020, the case was transferred to the Eastern District of California (*see* ECF No. 26);

WHEREAS, on December 17, 2020, the Court ordered that this action was related to thirteen other actions pending in the Eastern District and assigned this action to Judge John A. Mendez (*see* ECF No. 30);

WHEREAS, Plaintiffs' current counsel will be withdrawing and Plaintiffs plan to substitute new counsel;

WHEREAS, the Court has vacated all upcoming deadlines for this action, including the deadline for Defendants' answer or responsive pleading, which was previously set for February 8, 2021;

WHEREAS, to accommodate the substitution of new counsel, the parties previously stipulated to extend the deadline for the Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f) conference and the subsequent status report to 120 days from the entry of the Court's order granting the stipulation or 30 days after the Court rules on Defendants' Motion to Dismiss, whichever occurs sooner (*see* ECF No. 34);

WHEREAS, on December 23, 2020, based upon the parties' stipulation, the Court extended the deadline for the Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f) conference and the subsequent status report to 120 days from the entry of the Court's order or 30 days after the Court rules on Defendants' Motion to Dismiss, whichever occurs sooner (*see* ECF No. 35);

Case 2:20-cv-02446-JAM-DB Document 38 Filed 03/12/21 Page 3 of 4 WHEREAS, the Court's December 23, 2020 Order would require the parties to conduct the Rule 26(f) conference on or before April 22, 2021; WHEREAS, Plaintiffs are working on the substitution of new counsel but do not expect such substitution to be completed until April or May, 2021; IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between the undersigned parties through their respective counsel, and subject to the approval of the Court, that the deadline for the Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f) conference and the subsequent status report be extended to 120 days from the entry of the Court's order granting this stipulation or 30 days after the Court rules on the Defendants' Motion to Dismiss, whichever occurs sooner. Dated: March 11, 2021 KEKER, VAN NEST & PETERS LLP /s/ Eric H. MacMichael By: **ELLIOT R. PETERS** ERIC H. MACMICHAEL BAILEY W. HEAPS Attorneys for Defendants NIXON PEABODY, LLP and FORREST DAVID MILDER KING, HOLMES, PATERNO & Dated: March 11, 2021 SORIANO, LLP /s/ John G. Snow By: JOHN G. SNOW John G. Snow (280790) KING, HOLMES, PATERNO & SORIANO, LLP (Local counsel) Brian J. Foster Ross P. Meyer WILENCHIK &BARTNESS, APC (Lead counsel) Attorneys for Plaintiffs

2.

SOLARMORE MANAGEMENT

CORPORATION

SERVICES, INC., A CALIFORNIA

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Case 2:20-cv-02446-JAM-DB Docu	imeni so Fileu	U3/12/21 H	Page 4 or	4
--------------------------------	----------------	------------	-----------	---

ORDER Based upon the stipulation of the Parties, the moving papers, and for good cause shown, the Court extends the deadline for the Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f) conference and the subsequent status report to 120 days from the entry of this order or 30 days after the Court rules on the Defendants' Motion to Dismiss, whichever occurs sooner. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: March 11, 2021 /s/ John A. Mendez THE HONORABLE JOHN A. MENDEZ UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE